Descriptive Set Theory Lecture 21

By Hansdorffrung distinct points x I y we open-separable, i.e.

have disjoint open wighbarshoods. We will chow that it too disjoint analytic sets A al B are not Bond - sep., then some at A I be B are not open-separable, a contradiction.

let f: NN >> A d g: W >>> B be watinnous surjections, and suppose that A I B are not Basel - sep. For each sEW CAN, put As := f([17]) it Bs := g([s]). What the claim above says is that if As I By are not Boxel-sep, for Ist=14), Min then I Asn I Ben that are still not Barel-sep. Min then I Asn I Ben that are still not Barel-sep. Som the pair of branches is the tree WCW building x,y EWW s.t. Un Axin I Byin are not Parel-sep. let $a := f(x) \land b := g(y)$. let $U \ge a \land V \ge b$ be disjoint open sets. By continuity of F I g, In s.t. Axi=f([x1n])=U and By==y([y1n]) = V, so U separates Axin W Byn, a contradiction.

<u>Lor</u> Any chol disjoint collection (An) of analytic seter can be Barel -separated, i.e. I pairwise disjoint Band sets 13n 2 An. A. A. A. A. .

Proof. Exercise. 🗆

Borel graph theorem. For Polith op. X, Y, and a Inchio- F: X -> Y, TFAE, Mene Cif := graph (f) := {(x, s) & Kxy: f(x)=y}: (1) fit a Bond function, i.e. f"(Bond) is Bond. (2) [f is Bard. (>) lip is analytic, Proof (1) => (2). Fix a ctil open basis (V2) for Y. For any (4) EXXY, (x,y) E (; <=> f(x)=y <=> x & f'(y) <=> Un (y & Vh => x & f'(k)) L=> Un (y\$ Vn or xEF"(Vn)). closed Bonal (3) => (1). Fix an open V < Y is show Mt f'(V) & Borel. (1,3) Gef For each XEX, analytic open XE f⁻¹(V) <=> JyEY ((K,y)EGF oud yEV) <=> \ { EY ((x,y) G (1 => y EV) <=> Vy EY ((xy) & GF or y EV). coaralytic open The, f'(V) is in $D'_1(X)$, coonalytic hence is Bonet. conclutic

Closure of Bonel set maller small- to -one Borel functions,

As we saw, Bonel sets are not closed multer contrinous/13mel images. However, if furns out 14 if the preimage of each point is small (eg. <1 pt, etbl, conpact, J-compact) then the inage of Barel site are still Borel. The first these of this kind is:

1-1 images (Luzin - Souslin). For any Polith V any 1-to-1 Bod funkion f: X -> Y maps Bonel sets to Beel sets Rost (R. Chev, 2017). We may assure f is continuous, by afining the top on K, at it is enough to prove M F (x) is Basel, for the same reason (for my Borel BEX, make it dopen at consider the function fly instead), Assuming f: X = Y is an embedding, f: X -> F(X) is a homeo, then f(x) Y f(x) is also Polish, hence Go. Thus our X $(u^{c}) \xrightarrow{f} (f(x))$ goal is he refine the Polish hop. on both (1) f(x) X (1×1) Keeping he same Baul sets, but ucking f into an embedding, hence then

f(x) would be GS is the finer top, hence Boul in the original top of Y. To Mis end, fix a MI basis U for X il to make f into an open map, it's enough to turn f(U) open relative to f(K), be enh UEN. f(u) is analytic, but the Ut f(u') is also analytic and disjoint by injectivity of P. By analytic separation, 7 Bouel Bus Y it F(W) 2 Bu of F(W) = Bu. let Ty be the retinent becase $f(u^{c}) = F(u)^{c} \wedge f(x)$, so $f(x) \wedge B_{u}^{c} = f(u^{c})$. Now f: (X, Tx) -> (Y, Ty) is an open map but it's to longer continuous, although geph(f) is still closed in (XXY, TX × TY). Reveloce, the top TX' = Tx + f'(TY') is still Polish by the black magic proven last time Now, J: (X, Tx')) (Y, Ty) is autimous, and it is still open bene the inages of new open sets are open by def, indeed, f(f'(V))=V br my VETY.